3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HYDE & SWIGART

San Diego, California

Robert L. Hyde, Esq. (SBN: 227183) bob@westcoastlitigation.com

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

Hyde & Swigart

411 Camino Del Rio South, Suite 301

San Diego, CA 92108-3551 Telephone: (619) 233-7770

Facsimile: (619) 297-1022

Attorneys for Eva Guo



RBB

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Eva Guo

Plaintiff,

V.

Mark Walsh, d/b/a Legal **Recovery Law Offices**

Defendant.

Case Number 1918

Complaint For Damages

Jury Trial Demanded

INTRODUCTION

- Eva Guo, (Plaintiff), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to 1. challenge the actions of Mark Walsh, d/b/a Legal Recovery Law Offices, ("Defendant"), with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception 2. of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge. ORIGINAL



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint 3. alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Legal Recovery Law 4. Offices took place in California.
- Any violations by Defendant were knowing, willful, and intentional, and 5. Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 6. 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection 7. Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 ("Rosenthal Act").
- Because Defendant does business within the State of California, personal 8. jurisdiction is established.
- Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. 9.
- At all times relevant, Defendant conducted business within the State of 10. California.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of Berkeley, State of 11. California.
- Defendant is located in the City of San Diego, in the State of California. 12.
- Plaintiff is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and is a "consumer" 13. as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or 14. the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is therefore a debt collector as that phrase is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a 15. consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- Defendant, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of himself, 16. herself, or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), is therefore a debt collector as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or 17. alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a consumer debt and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- Sometime before July 22, 2010, Plaintiff is alleged to have incurred certain 18. financial obligations.
- These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household 19. purposes and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- These alleged obligations were money, property, or their equivalent, which is 20. due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- Sometime thereafter, but before July 22, 2010, Plaintiff allegedly fell behind 21. in the payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt. Plaintiff currently takes no position as to the validity of this alleged debt.

San Diego, California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Subsequently, but before July 22, 2010, the alleged debt was assigned, placed, 22. or otherwise transferred, to Defendant for collection.
- On or about July 22, 2010, Defendant filed a lawsuit against the plaintiff. 23.
- 24. When Defendant filed the lawsuit on July 22, 2010, Defendant did so, in part, by filing a written Complaint.
- Subsequently, on or about August 11, 2010, Defendant had this Complaint 25. delivered to Plaintiff.
 - This Complaint was a communication to Plaintiff was a "communication" as 26. that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2), and an "initial communication" consistent with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
 - This Complaint was a communication was a "debt collection" as Cal. Civ. 27. Code 1788.2(b) defines that phrase, and an "initial communication" consistent with Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(b).
 - In this Complaint, Defendant demanded a principal amount plus interest at a 28. rate of 26.10% per annum.
 - Through this conduct, Defendant was collecting an amount (including any 29. interest, fee, charge, or expense incidental to the principal obligation) when such amount was not expressly authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law. Consequently, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1).
 - The representation that the plaintiff had previously agreed to pay 26.10% per 30. annum interest was untrue. Through this representation, Defendant used a false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of a debt. Consequently, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e and 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10).
 - Because Defendant's conduct violated certain portions of the federal Fair 31. Debt Collection Practices Act as these portions are incorporated by reference in the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, through California Civil Code § 1788.17, Defendant's conduct also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA)

15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other 32. paragraphs.
- 33. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the abovecited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 34. As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

COUNT II

ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (ROSENTHAL ACT) CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788-1788.32

- Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other 35. paragraphs.
- 36. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the Rosenthal Act, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of the Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788-1788.32
- 37. As a result of each and every violation of the Rosenthal Act, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a); statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c) from Defendant.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant, and Plaintiff be awarded damages from Defendant, as follows:

- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c).
- Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of 38. America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 2, 2010

Hyde & Swigart

Robert L. Hyde

Attorneys for Plaintiff

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	<u> </u>	1		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	Ad I will be the state of the s		
Eva Guo		Markି(Mୁଣ୍ଡାଞ୍ଚୀ:jd/	Mark (Naist ld/h/a Legal Recovery Law Offices		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Alarmeda (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of THERM DIST	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant San Diego SUTHERN DISTRICTION U.S. BLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE BY LAND INVOLVED.		
Hyde & Swigart	, Address, and Telephone Number) South Suite 301, San Diego, CA 9210	Attorneys (If Known)	11918 L	RBB	
	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	夏 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF I I Incorporated or Prin of Business In This		
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	_	2 Incorporated and Pr of Business In A	nother State	
		Citizen or Subject of a G Foreign Country	13 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	06 06	
IV. NATURE OF SUI					
110 Insurance 120 Marine 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 196 Franchise 197 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Linjury 360 Other Personal Linjury	Y 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Science of Property 21 USC 881 620 Dique Related Science of Property 21 USC 881 640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Other 200 Department 200 Department	28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS	OTHER STATUTES 400 State Reapportionan ent 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Secunities/Commodities/ Exchange 12 USC 3410 890 Other Stabutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
🗶 1 Original 🔲 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened . anoth	sferred from 6 Multidistri ter district Litigation	Appeal to District Ct	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et sec Brief description of cause:	re filing (Do not cite jurisdiction			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	n demand \$ 75,001.00	CHECK YES only i JURY DEMAND:	f demanded in complaint: Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TORNEY OF RECORD			
9/15/2010				<u> </u>	
receipt#	MOUNT 4350 APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE	
7	MOUNT #330 - APPLYING IFP _	, 10	ORIGINAI	•	

ORIGINAL

Court Name: USDC California Southern

Division: 3

Receipt Number: CAS018055

Cashier ID: mbain

Transaction Date: 09/15/2010 Payer Name: HYDE AND SWIGART

CIVIL FILING FEE For: GUO V WALSH

Case/Party: D-CAS-3-10-CV-001918-001

Amount: \$350.00

CHECK

Check/Money Order Num: 4090 Amt Tendered: \$350.00

Total Due: \$350.00 Total Tendered: \$350.00

Change Amt: \$0.00

There will be a fee of \$45.00 charged for any returned check.